



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/931,686	08/16/2001	Stephan Appen	MUH-11671	3318	
	90 03/26/2003				
LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A. Post Office Box 2480			EXAMINER		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2829		

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				MIN			
	Application No.	Appl	licant(s)				
	09/931,686	APP	EN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art l	Init				
	Paresh Patel	2829					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover :	sheet with the corresp	oondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	86(a). In no event, howev within the statutory minin ill apply and will expire SI cause the application to to	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be X (6) MONTHS from the mail become ABANDONED (35 U	considered timely. ling date of this comr l.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
_	2002						
<u> </u>	s action is non-fin	al					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			ition as to the	morite is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> Disposition of Claims				Hents is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
·—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requireme	nt.					
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 (	CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) c	or (f).				
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:							
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	have been receiv	ed.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	have been receiv	ed in Application No	· ·				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a	a provisional ar	oplication).			
a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic							
Attachment(s)	,,	33					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-dotice of Informal Patent Author:					

Application/Control Number: 09/931,686

Art Unit: 2829

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species of fig. 1,

Species of fig. 3,

Species of fig. 7.

If applicant elects Species of fig. 1 further election of species is required as follows:

Species of fig. 5,

Species of fig. 6.

If applicant elects Species of fig. 3 further election of species is required as follows:

Species of fig. 5,

Species of fig. 6.

If applicant elects Species of fig. 7 further election of species is required as follows:

Species of fig. 5,

Species of fig. 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims appear to be generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/931,686

) Art Unit: 2829

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to the office of Markus Nolff on 02/06/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paresh Patel whose telephone number is 703-306-5859. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30 to 4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kammie Cuneo can be reached on 703-308-1233. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Paresh Patel February 6, 2003 \ \rangle KAMAND CUNEO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800